tion. The slightly lower effect of the 4-fluoro atom may be due to the contribution of a stabilizing resonance

form, O=C-C=F+, not possible with a 2-fluoro group. The spectral shifts of the carbonyl group due to the introduction of a  $\gamma$ -fluoro atom into an  $\alpha,\beta$ unsaturated ketone and the relatively greater effect of an axial substituent have been recognized and explained<sup>3</sup> on the basis of prevention of double-bond polarization. In the present study the  $6\alpha$ -fluoro (equatorial) substituent caused a 10-fold shift in  $K_{\rm eq}$ and the  $6\beta$ -fluoro (axial) group a 40-fold shift or a net destabilization of the unsaturated ketone of 1.5 and 2.3 kcal., respectively. The general parallel between the position of the carbonyl frequency in the infrared and the position of the ketone-alcohol equilibrium (Table I) is worthy of note and supports the contention that the primary effect observed is one of ketone destabilization.

Enzymatic reductions which have demonstrated the facile formation of  $\Delta^4$ -3-ols from the halogenated unsaturated ketones may now be reinterpreted, in part, to include equilibrium considerations, although the electronic factors leading to high equilibrium concentrations of allyl alcohols appear to be the same as those promoting fast hydride transfer from reduced pyridine nucleotides.

(10) H. J. Ringold, S. Ramachandran, and E. Forchielli, Biochim. Biophys. Acta, 82, 143 (1964).

Worcester Foundation for Howard J. Ringold Experimental Biology Harold Lawrence, Jr. Shrewsbury, Massachusetts J. M. H. Graves

RECEIVED JULY 11, 1964

## The Effect of Pressure on the Rate of Hydrolysis of Chloroform. The Nature of the Transition State

Sir:

The dissociation of  $CCl_3^-$  to chloride ion and dichlorocarbene is commonly assumed to be the rate-controlling step in the basic hydrolysis of chloroform. The possibility that the chloride ion might be displaced by water has been mentioned by  $Hine^2$ ; on the basis of kinetic arguments this path was held to be an unlikely one, although it could not be ruled out. More recently, a study of the base-promoted methanolysis of chloroform<sup>3</sup> showed  $k_2$  to be proportional to  $h_-$ . However, the use of acidity functions in assessing the role of solvent molecules is at best highly uncertain, and it remains therefore an open question whether the solvent is to any degree involved in the formation of the intermediate.

Whalley, et al., and the present authors have found knowledge of  $\Delta V^*$  to be helpful in solving similar problems involving acid catalysis. It therefore appeared to us that a measurement of the pressure coefficient of the rate constant in the hydrolysis of chloroform would reveal to what extent water is involved in the

rate-determining step in that reaction. As before, any such participation is expected to lead to a negative activation volume, whereas simple dissociation would be characterized by a volume expansion. The data, essentially obtained by techniques described earlier, are shown in Table I.

Table I The Effect of Pressure on the Rate of Basic Hydrolysis of Chloroform at  $25^\circ$  in Water Containing 3% Methanol

Pressure, kbar	$k_2 \times 10^s$ , $1/\text{mole sec}$ .	Number of observations <sup>a</sup>
0.00	7.39	5
1.07	3.53	4
2.13	2.15	4
3.17	1.62	4
4.21	1.08	4
5.24	0.66	4
6.45	0.70	4

 $^a$  The reaction was followed to about 70% completion; the rate constants were reproducible to about 5%. The base concentration was about 1; the chloroform concentration was below the saturation point by a factor of 10.

A plot of  $\ln k_2 vs. p$  yields a  $\Delta V_0^*$  of  $+16 \, \mathrm{cm}.^3/\mathrm{mole}$ , by far the largest positive activation volume reported to date for a reaction in water. This may be considered conclusive evidence that no water becomes bound in the transition state; the magnitude of the effect strongly suggests, in fact, that water electrostatically bound by the  $\mathrm{CCl_3}^-$  ion is being released during the reaction and that the transition state goes on to free  $\mathrm{CCl_2}$ . We are currently investigating other reactions assumed to go through carbene intermediates, and hope to report those results as well as some of the experimental details later.

**Acknowledgment.**—Generous support from the National Science Foundation is gratefully acknowledged.

- (6) W. le Noble, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 85, 1470 (1963).
- (7) This value is even more remarkable when it is remembered that  $\Delta V^*$  tends to be smaller in highly polar solvents: K. R. Brower, *ibid.*, **85**, 1401 (1963).

Department of Chemistry W. J. Le Noble State University of New York at Stony Brook M. Duffy Stony Brook, New York

RECEIVED AUGUST 12, 1964

## Photoelimination of Ethylene from 2-Pentanone<sup>1</sup>

Sir

In a recent Communication to the Editor, it was mentioned<sup>2</sup> that Michael and Noyes<sup>3</sup> reaffirmed that the photoelimination of olefins from carbonyl-containing compounds, often called a type II process, occurs *via* a singlet excited state. It was felt worthwhile to report, at this time, some of the additional results obtained in our laboratory which may resolve the controversy concerning the excited state involved in the photoelimination

$$CH_3COC_3H_7^* \longrightarrow C_2H_4 + CH_3COCH_3$$
 (1)

We confirm the findings of Michael and Noyes³ that at 3130 Å., addition of biacetyl to 2-pentanone quenches

<sup>(1)</sup> J. Hine, "Divalent Carbon," The Ronald Press Company, New York, N. Y., 1964, pp. 36-42.

<sup>(2)</sup> J. Hine, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 72, 2438 (1950).

<sup>(3)</sup> R. A. M. O'Ferrall and J. H. Ridd, J. Chem. Soc., 5035 (1963).

<sup>(4)</sup> For several references, see E. Whalley, "Advances in Physical Organic Chemistry," Vol. 2, V. Gold, Ed., Academic Press, Inc., New York, N. Y., 1964.

<sup>(5)</sup> W. le Noble and M. Duffy, J. Phys. Chem., 68, 619 (1964).

<sup>(1)</sup> This work was supported by the U. S. Public Health Service, Department of Health, Education, and Welfare.

<sup>(2)</sup> P. Borrell, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 86, 3156 (1964).

<sup>(3)</sup> J. L. Michael and W. A. Noyes, Jr., ibid., 85, 1027 (1963).